

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/070,006		Gilbert Wolrich	10559-302US1	5728	
5	7590 07/29/2003		•		
Scott C Harris			EXAMINER		
Fish & Richard 4350 La Jolla			PEIKARI, I	PEIKARI, BEHZAD	
Suite 500 San Diego, CA 92122			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5un 510g0, 61	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2186		
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	$\wp$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3

*		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/070,006	WOLRICH, GILBERT
, avicery , touer.	Examiner	Art Unit
	B. James Peikari	2186
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 21 July 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is requir final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be el condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	red to avoid abandonment of this ither: (1) a timely filed amendme f Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD I	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dat no event, however, will the statutory period for rep ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REI 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136	oly expire later than SIX MONTHS from PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT 6(a). The date on which the petition under the control of the control o	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exter
ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received be imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	e period of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period by the Office later than three months after	nding amount of the fee. The appropriate exte I for reply originally set in the final Office action
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Application Ap</li></ol>		
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	itered because:	
(a) 🔲 they raise new issues that would requi	ire further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see	e Note below);	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or	ication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying
(d)  they present additional claims without NOTE:	canceling a corresponding num	nber of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	_ would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendme
<ol> <li>The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req application in condition for allowance beca</li> </ol>		en considered but does NOT place th
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be conside raised by the Examiner in the final rejection		OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)
10. Other:		7. C.
		B. James Peikari
		Primary Examiner